

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,822	04/06/2000	Tongbi Jiang	4241US	9308
75	590 12/20/2004		EXAM	INER
James R Duza	ın		GRAYBILL	, DAVID E
Trask Britt & R	lossa			
P O Box 2550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Salt Lake City, UT 84110			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TA - 12 (1 A)					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/544,822	JIANG, TONGBI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	David E Graybill	2822				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	ovember 2004.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-5,7-32 and 58-64 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 33-57 is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,7-32 and 58-64 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/544,822

Art Unit: 2822

In the rejections infra, generally, reference labels are recited only for the first recitation of identical claim elements.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Dery (6074895).

At column 1, lines 51-62; column 2, line 52 to column 5, line 11; column 5, lines 51-59; and column 6, lines 13-54, Dery discloses a method for applying a material between a semiconductor device 110 having a surface 111 and a substrate 120 having a surface 124, said semiconductor device mounted on said substrate, said method comprising: applying a wetting agent layer ("treated" surface) inherently having a thickness of about a monolayer to one of said surface of said semiconductor device and said surface of said substrate; and applying a flowable material 140 between the substrate and the semiconductor device, such that said flowable material contacts said wetting agent layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,822

Art Unit: 2822

To further clarify, the layer inherently has a thickness of about a monolayer at least intermediate the total thickness of the layer.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dery (6074895).

At column 1, lines 51-62; column 2, line 52 to column 5, line 11; column 5, lines 51-59; and column 6, lines 13-54, Dery discloses a method for applying a material between a semiconductor device 110 having a surface 111 and a substrate 120 having a surface 124, said semiconductor device mounted on said substrate, said method comprising: applying a wetting agent layer ("treated" surface) inherently having a thickness of about a monolayer to one of said surface of said semiconductor device and said surface of said substrate; and applying a flowable material 140 between the substrate and the semiconductor device, such that said flowable material contacts said wetting agent layer.

However, Dery does not appear to explicitly disclose the particular claimed layer thickness.

Regardless, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose this particular thickness because applicant has not disclosed that, in view of the applied prior art, the dimension is for a particular unobvious purpose, produces an unexpected result, or is otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another thickness. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Claims 1-5, 7-12, 15, 22 and 58-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dery (6074895) and Plueddemann (4231910).

As cited supra, Dery discloses the following:

A method for applying a material between a semiconductor device 110 having a surface 111 and a substrate 120 having a surface 124, said method comprising: applying a wetting agent layer ("treated" surface) to one of said

Page 5

Art Unit: 2822

surface of said semiconductor device and said surface of said substrate; and applying a flowable material 140 between the substrate and the semiconductor device, such that said flowable material contacts said wetting agent layer; wherein said semiconductor device is attached to said substrate; wherein said applying said wetting agent layer comprises any one of a dispensing (dispensing plasma) method, a brushing method "an abrasive object 128 (such as a polishing cloth) is shown being moved back and forth," and a spraying method; wherein said wetting agent layer comprises at least one layer; wherein said wetting agent layer comprises a plurality of layers; and wherein said applying a wetting agent layer comprises providing a material that to the surface of one of said surface of said semiconductor device and said surface of said substrate for the application of an underfill material 140.

A method for applying a material between a semiconductor device and a substrate, said method comprising: providing a semiconductor device having an active surface 111, another surface, a first end, a second end, a first lateral side, and a second lateral side "all four sides," said first end, said second end, said first lateral side, and said second lateral side forming at least a portion of a periphery of said semiconductor device; providing a substrate having an upper surface 124, a first side wall, a second side wall, a first lateral side wall and a second lateral side wall; applying a wetting

Page 6

agent layer to one of said active surface of said semiconductor device and said upper surface of said substrate; and applying a flowable material between said semiconductor device and said substrate, such that said flowable material contacts said applied wetting agent layer; wherein said flowable material is applied substantially adjacent to at least one end of said semiconductor device; wherein said flowable material substantially fills a gap between said semiconductor device and said substrate; wherein said flowable material is provided substantially adjacent to said at least a portion of the periphery of said semiconductor device to fill a gap between said substrate and said semiconductor device; and wherein said applying said flowable material comprises: providing said flowable material substantially adjacent said first end "one or more edges" of said semiconductor device for filling between said substrate and said semiconductor device by one or more forces acting upon said flowable material.

A method for attaching a semiconductor assembly, said method comprising: providing a semiconductor device having an active surface; providing a substrate having an upper surface; applying a wetting agent layer to one of said active surface of said semiconductor device and said upper surface of said substrate; connecting said semiconductor device to said substrate so that said active surface of said semiconductor device faces said upper surface of said substrate; and applying a flowable underfill

material between the substrate and the semiconductor device, such that said flowable underfill material contacts said applied wetting agent layer; wherein applying said wetting agent layer comprises any one of a dispensing method, a brushing method, and a spraying method; and wherein said wetting agent layer comprises at least one layer.

A method for attaching a semiconductor assembly, said method comprising: providing a semiconductor device having an active surface, a first end, a second end, a first lateral side end and a second lateral side end; providing a substrate having an upper surface, a first side wall, a second side wall, a first lateral side wall and a second lateral side wall; applying a material layer ("treated" surface) to one of a portion of said active surface of said semiconductor device and a portion of said upper surface of said substrate; connecting said semiconductor device to said substrate so that said active surface of said semiconductor device faces said upper surface of said substrate; and applying a flowable underfill material between said semiconductor device and said substrate, such that said flowable underfill material contacts said applied material layer.

A method for applying a material between a semiconductor device 110 having a surface 111 and a substrate 120 having a surface 124, said method comprising: applying a wetting agent layer ("treated" surface) inherently having a thickness of about a monolayer to one of said surface of said

semiconductor device and said surface of said substrate; and applying a flowable material 140 between the substrate and the semiconductor device, such that said flowable material contacts said wetting agent layer.

To further clarify, the layer inherently has a thickness of about a monolayer at least intermediate the total thickness of the layer.

However, Dery does not appear to explicitly disclose applying a liquid wetting agent layer; the flowable material contacts said liquid wetting agent layer; wherein said liquid wetting agent layer includes a layer of silane-based material; wherein said liquid wetting agent layer comprises one of glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane and ethyltrimethoxysilane.

Nonetheless, at column 1, lines 5-8, 21-23 and 55-63; column 2, lines 5-49; column 3, lines 22-54; column 3, line 65 to column 4, line 10; column 4, lines 24-27 and 58-62; column 6, lines 11-19, 37-44 and 57-65; and column 7, line 4 to column 8, line 5, Plueddemann discloses applying a liquid wetting agent layer; a flowable material contacts said liquid wetting agent layer; wherein said liquid wetting agent layer includes a layer of silane-based material; wherein said liquid wetting agent layer comprises one of glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane and ethyltrimethoxysilane. In addition, it would have been obvious to combine the processes of Plueddemann and Dery, for example, by substituting or combining the wetting agent layer of Plueddemann for or with the wetting agent layers of Dery because both

Art Unit: 2822

Plueddemann and Dery are drawn to improving adhesion of a plastic and the process of Plueddemann would improve the adhesion of the plastic of Dery.

In addition, it has been held that it is obvious to combine two processes for the same purpose. In re Novak 16 USPQ2d 2043. Similarly, "It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980) (citations omitted) (Claims to a process of preparing a spray - dried detergent by mixing together two conventional spray - dried detergents were held to be prima facie obvious.). See also, In re Crockett, 279 F.2d 274, 126 USPQ 186 (CCPA 1960) (Claims directed to a method and material for treating cast iron using a mixture comprising calcium carbide and magnesium oxide were held unpatentable over prior art disclosures that the aforementioned components individually promote the formation of a nodular structure in cast iron.); and Ex parte Quadranti 25 USPQ2d 1071 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992) (Mixture of two known herbicides held prima facie obvious).

Incidentally, when the wetting agent layers of Dery and Plueddemann are used in conjunction, the liquid wetting agent layer comprises a plurality of layers.

Also, the layer of the combination of Dery and Plueddemann inherently has a thickness of about a monolayer at least intermediate the total thickness of the layer.

In any case, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose this particular thickness because applicant has not disclosed that, in view of the applied prior art, the dimension is for a particular unobvious purpose, produces an unexpected result, or is otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another thickness. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPO 47 (CCPA 1966).

Claims 13, 14, 16-21, and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dery and Plueddemann as applied to claims 1-5, 7-12, 15, 22 and 58-64 supra, and further in combination with Akram (5766982).

Dery and Plueddemann do not appear to explicitly disclose the following:

The method wherein said substrate includes an aperture extending through said substrate; wherein said aperture is located adjacent to said another surface of said semiconductor device, further comprising: elevating at least said first side wall of said substrate and said first end of said semiconductor device; wherein said elevating said first side wall of said substrate comprises placing said substrate on a support structure and elevating at least one portion of said support structure, further comprising: providing a dam on the substrate adjacent to at least one of said first end, said second end, said first lateral side and said second lateral side of said semiconductor device; wherein said dam extends to substantially between said semiconductor device and said substrate, further comprising: vibrating one of said semiconductor device and said substrate; wherein said vibrating one of said semiconductor device and said substrate comprises placing said substrate on a support structure and vibrating said support structure; wherein said substrate includes at least one aperture extending through said

substrate and substantially located adjacent to said another surface of said semiconductor device; wherein said flowable material is provided through said at least one aperture of said substrate substantially filling a gap between said substrate and said semiconductor device; wherein said substrate includes at least one aperture extending therethrough and substantially located adjacent to said another surface of said semiconductor device; wherein said flowable material is provided from below said substrate; and wherein said flowable material is provided through said at least one aperture contacting at least a portion of said another surface of said semiconductor device.

Nevertheless, at column 4, line 36 to column 7, line 17, Akram discloses a process wherein a substrate 10 includes an aperture extending through a substrate, an aperture 60 is located adjacent (nearby) to another surface of a semiconductor device 12; elevating at least a first side wall of the substrate and a first end of the semiconductor device; wherein elevating a first side wall of the substrate comprises placing the substrate on a support structure 44 and elevating at least one portion of a support structure; providing a dam 40 on the substrate adjacent to at least one of a first end, a second end, a first lateral side and a second lateral side of a semiconductor device; wherein a dam extends to substantially between a semiconductor device and a substrate; vibrating 48 one of a semiconductor

Art Unit: 2822

device and a substrate; wherein vibrating one of a semiconductor device and a substrate comprises placing a substrate on a support structure and vibrating a support structure; wherein a flowable material 28 is provided through at least one aperture of a substrate substantially filling a gap 26 between a substrate and a semiconductor device; and wherein a flowable material is provided through a at least one aperture contacting (at least indirectly physically and thermally contacting) at least a portion of another surface of a semiconductor device.

Moreover, it would have been obvious to combine the process of Akram with the process of Dery and Plueddemann because it would facilitate applying the flowable material 140 between the substrate and the semiconductor device.

Also, in the combination, Dery discloses the following:

The method wherein applying a flowable material comprises: providing a flowable material substantially adjacent to a first end of a semiconductor device for filling a gap between a substrate and a semiconductor device; wherein said applying said flowable material comprises: providing said flowable material substantially adjacent to said first end and one of said first lateral side and said second lateral side of said semiconductor device for filling a gap between said substrate and said semiconductor device; and wherein a flowable material is provided from below a substrate.

Art Unit: 2822

Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dery and Plueddemann as applied to claim 10 supra, and further in combination with Banerji (5203076).

Dery and Plueddemann do not appear to explicitly disclose the following:

The method wherein said applying said flowable material between said semiconductor device and said substrate further comprises placing said semiconductor device and said substrate in a chamber, said chamber having an atmosphere therein having a variable pressure, further comprising: varying the pressure of said atmosphere in said chamber for said flowable material substantially filling a gap between said semiconductor device and said substrate.

Regardless, at column 2, lines 55-68; and column 3, lines 1-10,
Banerji discloses a process wherein applying a flowable material 22 between
a semiconductor device 10 and a substrate 20 comprises placing the
semiconductor device and the substrate in a chamber 32 having an
atmosphere therein having a variable pressure, and varying the pressure of
the atmosphere in the chamber for the flowable material substantially filling
a gap 18 between the semiconductor device and the substrate.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to combine the process of Banerji with the process of Dery because it would facilitate applying the flowable material 140 between the substrate and the semiconductor device.

Applicant's amendment and remarks filed 10-18-4 have been fully considered, are addressed by the rejections supra, and are further addressed infra.

Applicant asserts, "the application of a gaseous plasma to the surface 111 of the substrate 120 of Dery et al. does not teach the claim limitation of a wetting agent layer having a thickness of about a monolayer."

This assertion is respectfully deemed unpersuasive because Dery is not necessarily applied to the rejections for a disclosure that the application of a gaseous plasma to the surface 111 of the substrate 120 of Dery et al. teaches the claim limitation of a wetting agent layer having a thickness of about a monolayer. In any case, this assertion is unsupported by proof or a showing of facts; hence, it essentially amounts to mere conjecture. Ex parte Gray, 10 USPQ2d 1922 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989) (statement in publication dismissing the "preliminary identification of a human b - NGF - like molecule" in the prior art, even if considered to be an expert opinion, was inadequate to overcome the rejection based on that prior art because there was no factual evidence supporting the statement); In re Beattie, 974 F.2d 1309, 24 USPQ2d 1040 (Fed. Cir. 1992) (declarations of seven persons

Art Unit: 2822

skilled in the art offering opinion evidence praising the merits of the claimed invention were found to have little value because of a lack of factual support); Ex parte George, 21 USPQ2d 1058 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1991) (conclusory statements that results were "unexpected," unsupported by objective factual evidence, were considered but were not found to be of substantial evidentiary value).

Also, applicant variously nakedly states that the applied prior art does not teach the claimed invention. These statements are respectfully deemed unpersuasive because they are mere statements of conclusion, unsupported by rationale, as such, they do not overcome the rejections.

The remaining arguments have been adequately addressed previously in the record.

For information on the status of this application applicant should check PAIR:

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alternatively, applicant may contact the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Telephone status inquiries should not be directed to the examiner. See MPEP 1730VIC, MPEP 203.08 and MPEP 102.

Any other telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (571) 272-1930. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is (703) 872-9306.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2827

D.G. 10-Dec-04